Satipatthāna & Samādhi

by Ajahn Brahmali

Introduction

One of the most common unquestioned assumptions among Buddhist meditators is that $satipatth\bar{a}na$ is synonymous with $vipassan\bar{a}$. This assumption, it seems, often is a result of reading the Satipatthāna Suttas(1) in isolation without carefully considering the context in which $satipatth\bar{a}na$ is used throughout the Suttas. When the broader view of the entire Sutta Pitaka is taken into account, it becomes clear that such an assumption, at best, is only partially correct. In this short study I will investigate the various contexts in which $satipatth\bar{a}na$ appears and particularly consider its relationship with $sam\bar{a}dhi$.(2)

Samādhi and the Satipatthāna Suttas(3)

The Satipatthāna Suttas are often understood as only being concerned with *vipassanā* meditation. But there is nothing intrinsic to the Satipatthāna Suttas that allows one to conclude thus. Indeed, there are several aspects of these Suttas that point to *satipatthāna* also being concerned with *samatha*/*samādhi*.

The first of these aspects is the inclusion of the first tetrad of the Ānāpānasati Sutta in the Satipatthāna Suttas. Ānāpānasati is usually regarded as a *samatha* (calm) practice, (4) and there seems no reason why it should be regarded otherwise here. Moreover, the Ānāpānasati Sutta states that each of its four tetrads fulfils one of the four *satipatthānas*. (5) It then concludes:

"Bhikkhus, that is how mindfulness of breathing, developed and cultivated, fulfils the four *satipatthānas*." (6)

And it is not only the *ānāpānasati* part of the Satipatthāna Suttas which relates to *samādhi*. The cemetery contemplations, for example, are elsewhere specifically said to be *samādhi* practices:

"And, monks, what is the effort of guarding? Here, monks, a monk guards a favourable basis of *samādhi* which has arisen (in him): the perception of a skeleton, the perception of a worm-infested (corpse), the perception of a livid (corpse), the perception of a fissured (corpse), the perception of a bloated (corpse)." (7)

Indeed, it seems that *all* the *satipatthāna* practices have a *samādhi* aspect. Take the standard passage which concludes each exercise of the Satipatthāna Suttas:

"In this way he dwells contemplating the body in the body (then feelings/mind/phenomena) internally, or he dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena) externally, or he dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena) internally and externally." (8)

Then consider the following passage which relates the internal contemplation directly to samādhi:

"Here a venerable monk dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena) internally, ardent, clearly comprehending, mindful, having removed covetousness and displeasure in regard to the world. Dwelling contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena) internally, there he is rightly concentrated, rightly purified." [9]

Satipatthāna and Samādhi Outside the Satipatthāna Suttas

The above should be sufficient to at least suggest that *samādhi* is an integral part of *satipatthāna*. However, to be able to make a strong case for this relationship, and to consider in more detail what it involves, it is necessary to look beyond the Satipatthāna Suttas to the broader use of *satipatthāna* in the Sutta Pitaka.

In the threefold division of the Buddhist Path, into $s\bar{\imath}la$ (virtue), $sam\bar{a}dhi$, and $pa\tilde{n}n\bar{a}$ (wisdom), $satipatth\bar{a}na$ is classified under $sam\bar{a}dhi$, not under $pa\tilde{n}n\bar{a}$:

"Right effort, right mindfulness (i.e. $satipatth\bar{a}na$),(10) and right $sam\bar{a}dhi$ (i.e. the $jh\bar{a}nas$)(11) - these states are included in the aggregate of $sam\bar{a}dhi$. Right view and right intention - these states are included in the aggregate of $pa\tilde{n}n\tilde{a}$."(12)

If satipatthāna were equivalent, or closely related, to vipassanā rather than samādhi, would it not be included in the aggregate of wisdom rather than the aggregate of samādhi? (13) The most important relationship between satipatthāna

and samādhi that emerges from a broad reading of the Suttas, is that the practice of satipatthāna leads to samādhi: (14)

"The four satipatthānas are the basis of samādhi".(15)

"The repetition, development, and cultivation of these same states ($satipatth\bar{a}na$ and right effort) is the development of $sam\bar{a}dhi$ therein". (16)

" 'I will dwell contemplating the body in the body (feeling/mind/phenomena),(17) ardent, clearly comprehending, mindful, having removed covetousness and displeasure in regard to the world.' For thus, monk, you should train.

When, monk, this *samādhi* is thus developed and made much of, you should develop this *samādhi* with initial and sustained application, you should develop (it) without initial application but with a remainder of sustained application, you should develop (it) without initial and sustained application, you should develop (it) with rapture, you should develop (it) with comfort, you should develop it with equanimity."(18)

The last part "initial and sustained application ... with equanimity" is a reference to the $jh\bar{a}nas.(19)$ Note how $satipatth\bar{a}na$ practice is first called "this $sam\bar{a}dhi$ " and then said to lead on to the $jh\bar{a}nas$.

"So too, monks, here some foolish, incompetent, unskillful monk dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), ardent, clearly comprehending, mindful, having removed covetousness and displeasure in regard to the world. While he dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), his mind does not become concentrated ...

So too, monks, here some wise, competent, skillful monk dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), ardent, clearly comprehending, mindful, having removed covetousness and displeasure in regard to the world. While he dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), his mind becomes concentrated ...

That wise, competent, skillful monk gains pleasant dwellings in this very life, and he gains mindfulness and clear comprehension." (20)

The phrase "pleasant dwellings in this very life" is a common synonym in the Suttas for the four *jhānas*.(21)

Thus a pattern emerges whereby the four *satipatthānas* constitute the practice and development of *samādhi*, eventually leading to the four *jhānas*, *sammā-samādhi*. This relationship between the *satipatthānas* and *samādhi* is in fact made very explicit in the Suttas:

"It is indeed to be expected, venerable sir, that a noble disciple who has faith, whose energy is aroused, and whose mindfulness is established, will gain $sam\bar{a}dhi$, will gain one-pointedness of mind, having made release the object. That $sam\bar{a}dhi$ of his, venerable sir, is his faculty of $sam\bar{a}dhi$." (22)

"For one of right mindfulness (satipatthāna), sammā-samādhi (the jhānas) springs up."(23)

Satipatthāna and Vipassanā

The above survey presents the most important evidence on the context in which *satipatthāna* occurs throughout the Suttas. Having thus shown that the usual purpose of *satipatthāna* is the attainment of *samādhi*, it is necessary to consider the relationship between *satipatthāna* and *vipassanā*.

Firstly, it should be noted that the prevalence of a direct link between *satipatthāna* and *samādhi* does not necessarily mean that *satipatthāna* is all about *samatha* meditation. Rather, it means that, whether one practices *samatha* or *vipassanā*, in both cases the purpose of *satipatthāna* is the attainment of *samādhi*.

Secondly, the question arises as to what happens after *samādhi*: is there such a thing as post-*samādhi satipatthāna* and, if there is, what does it involve? In this context it is important to note that a number of Suttas make it clear that *satipatthāna* practice can take one all the way to the end of the Buddhist Path, for example:

"Bhikkhus, these four *satipatthānas*, when developed and cultivated, are noble and emancipating; they lead the one who acts upon them out to the complete destruction of suffering." (24)

For *satipatthāna* to be able to take one to full Enlightenment, it seems required that it must include post-*samādhi vipassanā*, i.e. deep insight.(25) But a direct relationship between *satipatthāna* and *vipassanā* is never explicitly mentioned in the Suttas.(26) To establish such a link it is necessary to broaden the inquiry to include other terms that also signify insight, such as *ñāna*, *dassana*, and *yathā-bhūta-ñāna-dassana*. This broadened inquiry brings to light the following interesting passage:(27)

"Come, friends, dwell contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), ardent, clearly comprehending, unified, with limpid mind, concentrated, with one-pointed mind, in order to know the body as it really is ($yath\bar{a}-bh\bar{u}ta-\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$)".(28)

Note how this passage differs significantly from the standard $satipatth\bar{a}na$ formula found almost everywhere else. It is two differences in particular that are important in the context of this study: Firstly, the insight aspect relates to the deep insights of seeing reality as it actually is $(yath\bar{a}-bh\bar{u}ta-\tilde{n}\bar{a}na)$; secondly, using a string of related terms - unified, with limpid mind, concentrated, with one-pointed mind - the passage puts a strong emphasis on $sam\bar{a}dhi$. The implication is that $satipatth\bar{a}na$ should be practiced for the purpose of deep insight only after $sam\bar{a}dhi$ has been achieved. (29) It thus seems clear that there is such a thing as post- $sam\bar{a}dhi$ $satipatth\bar{a}na$ and that its purpose is deep insight. (30)

Two Stages of Satipatthana

From the above it emerges that *satipatthāna* normally should be considered as a practice leading to *samādhi* and under special circumstances as a practice leading to deep insight. Furthermore, it appears that these two aspects of *satipatthāna* can be divided up into two quite distinct stages. In accordance with the natural progression of meditation practice, (31) the first stage of *satipatthāna* is about attaining *samādhi*. Once *samādhi* has been achieved (i.e. the necessary condition for deep insight is in place), the mind is equipped to uncover the true nature of the five aggregates (32) and realise the successive stages of Enlightenment. This is the second stage of *satipatthāna*. Such a two-stage division of *satipatthāna* is in fact explicitly described in the Suttas:

"... so these four foundations of mindfulness (*satipatthāna*) are the bindings for the mind of the noble disciple in order to subdue his habits based on the household life, to subdue his distress, fatigue, and fever based on the household life, and in order that he may attain the true way and realise Nibbāna.

Then the Tathāgata disciplines him further: 'Come, bhikkhu, abide contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), but do not think thoughts of sensual desire.' "(33)

Here the first stage of *satipatthāna* serves the purpose of abandoning refined hindrances. (34) This is part of the path leading to *samādhi*. The second stage of *satipatthāna* is here characterised by sensual desire having been abandoned, something suggesting that *samādhi* has been attained. (35)

Conclusion

Almost all Sutta passages that deal with the place of *satipatthāna* in the broader scheme of the Buddhist Path, show that *satipatthāna* is a condition for *samādhi*. It must therefore be concluded that the main purpose of *satipatthāna* is to bring the mind to *samādhi*. This result is important because it contradicts the common misunderstanding that *satipatthāna* is only concerned with *vipassanā*.

The second important conclusion that can be drawn from the above discussion is that *satipatthāna* as a deep insight practice, leading to insight into the true nature of the aggregates, only begins after *samādhi* has been attained. This conclusion is in line with one of the common themes of the Suttas: that "knowledge and vision of things as they really are" depends on *samādhi*.(36)

Brahmāali Bhikkhu

Perth, January 2004

References

All references are to volume number, page number, and line number of the Pali texts published by the Pali Text Society.

Notes

- (1) "The Satipatthāna Suttas" is a reference to D 22 & M 10. □
- (2) I follow the advice given in the four great standards, (D.II.123.30 126.5), which is to use only the word of the Buddha as the final authority in settling controversial points of Dhamma. For the purposes of this paper, I take the following parts of the Pāli Canon as the word of the Buddha: The Vinaya Pitaka (Vin) (except the Parivāra), the Dīgha Nikāya (D), Majjhima Nikāya (M), Samyutta Nikāya (S), Anguttara Nikāya (A), and the Sutta Nipāta (Sn). □
- (3) Whenever ' $sam\bar{a}dhi$ ' is used on its own in the Suttas, it will almost always include the four $jh\bar{a}nas$. Moreover, although other types of $sam\bar{a}dhi$ are mentioned in the Pali Canon, by far the most common type of $sam\bar{a}dhi$ is the four $jh\bar{a}nas$. Thus, in this paper, whenever I use the term $sam\bar{a}dhi$, I mainly refer to the four $jh\bar{a}nas$.
- (4) The first three tetrads of the Ānāpānasati Sutta are generally understood (also by the Commentary) to be *samādhi* practices. In addition, the phrase "ānāpānasati samādhi", "concentration through mindfulness of breathing", is not uncommon in the Suttas; e.g. see S.V.316-341 and Vin.III.70.19f. □

(5) See (M.III.83.20 - 85.6). □
(6) (M.III.85.4-6) :
"Evam bhāvitā kho, bhikkhave, ānāpānasati evam bahulīkatā cattāro satipatthāne paripūreti."
Wherever available, I follow the translations of Ven. Bhikkhu Bodhi. \square
(7) (A.II.17.1-6):
"Katamañ ca bhikkhave anurakkhanappadhānam? Idha bhikkhave bhikkhu uppannam bhaddhakam samādhi-nimittam anurakkhati atthika-saññam pulavaka- saññam vinīlaka-saññam vipubbaka-saññam vicchiddaka-saññam uddhumātaka-saññam."□
(8) (M.I.56.27-29):
"Iti ajjhattam vā kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati, bahiddhā vā kāye kayānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati, ajjhatta-bahiddhā vā kāye kayānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati."
Some might argue that the inclusion of the rise and fall section, immediately after the above, means that this is all about insight. However, it seems quite clear that the initial part on contemplating internally and externally can often be independent of the contemplation of rise and fall, see e.g. (D.II.216,10-14). \Box
(9) (D.II.216.10-14):
"Idha bho bhikkhu ajjhattam kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijjhā domanassam. Ajjhattam kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharanto tattha sammā samādhiyati sammā vippasīdati."
Rightly concentrated, " $samm\bar{a}$ - $sam\bar{a}dhiyati$ ", probably refers to the $jh\bar{a}nas$; see note 11.
(10) Right mindfulness, <i>sammā-sati</i> , is always defined as the four <i>satipatthānas</i> ; see e.g. (S.V.9.28 - 10.4).
(11) <i>Sammā-samādhi</i> , right concentration, is always defined as the four <i>jhānas</i> , see e.g. (S.V.10.5-18).
(12) (M.I.301.7-11):
"Yo ca sammā-vāyāmo yā ca sammā-sati yo ca sammā-samādhi, ime dhammā samādhikkhandhe sangahītā; yā ca sammā-ditthi yo ca sammā-sankappo, ime dhammā paññākkhandhe sangahītā ti."
(13) That <i>vipassanā</i> and wisdom are closely related is shown by a passage at (A.I.61.9-10) which states that when <i>vipassanā</i> is developed, wisdom is developed: " <i>vipassanā bhikkhave bhāvitā kam anubhoti? Paññā bhāvīyati.</i> " □
(14) I use "satipatthāna leads to samādhi" and "satipatthāna is a samādhi practice" synonymously. □
(15) (M.I.301.14):
"Cattāro satipatthāne samādhi-nimittā."□
(16) (M.I.301.15-16):
"Yā tesam yeva dhammānam āsevanā bhāvanā bahulī-kammam ayam tattha samādhi-bhāvanā."
(17) I.e. the four $satipatth\bar{a}nas$. \Box
(18) (A.IV.300.24 - 301.4):
"Kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharissāmi ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijjhā-domanassanti. Evam hi te bhikkhu sikkhitabbam.
Yato kho te bhikkhu ayam samādhi evam bhāvito hoti bahulīkato, tato tvam bhikkhu imam samādhim savitakkam pi savicāram bhāveyyāsi, avitakkam pi vicāra-mattam bhāveyyāsi, avitakkam pi avicāram bhāveyyāsi, sappītikam pi bhāveyyāsi, nippītikam pi bhāveyyāsi, sāta-sahagatam pi bhāveyyāsi, upekhā-sahagatam pi bhāveyyāsi."□

(19) The various qualities listed are the defining characteristics of the $jh\bar{a}nas$, see e.g. (M.I.347.12-23). The $sam\bar{a}dhi$ with initial and sustained application is the first $jh\bar{a}na$. The $sam\bar{a}dhi$ without initial but with a remainder of sustained application is mentioned in the suttas only rarely and it falls between the first and second $jh\bar{a}nas$. The $sam\bar{a}dhi$ without

initial and sustained application is the second $jh\bar{a}na$ or above. The $sam\bar{a}dhi$ without rapture refers to third $jh\bar{a}na$ and above and so does the $sam\bar{a}dhi$ with comfort; comfort ($s\bar{a}ta$) here being a synonym for happiness (sukha). The $sam\bar{a}dhi$ with equanimity refer to the fourth $jh\bar{a}na$ and beyond. That the four $jh\bar{a}nas$ are meant here is also supported by the Commentary (see Anguttara Nikāya Commentary IV.142.9-22). \Box

(20) (S.V.150.18-26 + 151.25-152.8):

"Evam eva kho bhikkhave idh'ekacco bālo avyatto akusalo bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati, ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijjhā-domanassam. Tassa kāye kāyānupassino viharato cittam no samādhiyati ...

Evam eva kho bhikkhave idh'ekacco pandito vyatto kusalo bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati, ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijjhā-domanassam. Tassa kāye kāyānupassino viharato cittam samādhiyati ...

Sa kho so bhikkhave pandito vyatto kusalo bhikkhu lābhī ceva hoti dittheva dhamme sukha-vihārānam lābhī hoti sati-sampajaññassa."□

(21) See e.g. (A.II.45.1-6):

"Katamā ca bhikkhave samādhi-bhāvanā bhāvitā bahulīkatā dittha-dhamma-sukha-vihārāya samvattati? Idha bhikkhave bhikkhu vivicc'eva kāmehi vivicca akusalehi dhammehi savitakkam savicāram vivekajam pīti-sukham pathamajjhānam upasampajja viharati. Vitakka-vicārānam vūpasamā ajjhattam sampasādanam cetaso ekodhibhāvam avitakkam vicāram samādhijam pīti-sukham dutiyam jhānam upasampajja viharati. Pītiyā ca virāgā upekhako ca viharati sato ca sampajāno sukhañ-ca kāyena patisamvedeti yan-tam ariyā ācikkhanti: upekhako satimā sukhavihārī-ti tatiyam jhānam upasampajja viharati. Sukhassa ca pahānā dukkhassa ca pahānā pubbe va somanassānam atthagamā adukkham asukham upekhā-sati-pārisuddhim catuttham jhānam upasampajja viharati."

(22) (S.V.225.23-28):

"Saddhassa hi bhante ariya-sāvakassa āraddha-viriyassa upatthita-satino etam patikankham, yam vossagg'ārammanam karitvā labhissati samādhim labhissati cittassa ekaggatam. Yo hi'ssa bhante samādhi tad assa samādh'indriyam."

The *sati* faculty is normally understood to be the four *satipatthānas* and the *samādhi* faculty the four *jhānas*, see (S.V.196.15-18). \Box

(23) See (S.V.2.5-6):

"Sammā-satissa sammā-samādhi pahotī ti."

See also (A.V.212.15-16), (A.V.214.25-26) & (A.V.236.27 - 237.1)

- (24) (S.V.166.19-21) : "*Cattāro me bhikhave satipatthānā bhāvitā bahulīkatā ariyā niyyānikā niyyanti takkarassa sammā-dukhakhayāya*." See also (S.V.158.16-23), (S.V.175.18-25), (S.V.179.14-24), (S.V.180.13-19), (S.V.181.20 182.6), (S.V.182.8-19) & (S.V.190.1-8). □
- (25) By deep insight I mean insight into the five *khandhas* being affected by the three characteristics; i.e. insight that is capable of giving rise to the four stages of Enlightenment. \Box
- (26) In fact *vipassanā* is not a very common word in the Suttas, at least not compared to *satipatthāna* and particularly not compared to *samādhi*. It is mainly used in the following contexts:
- I. By far its most frequent use is as a pair with *samatha*. In this usage the meaning seems to have a rather broad range and is never specifically related to *satipatthāna*. See (D.III.213.11), (D.III.273.24-25), (M.III.289.29-30), (M.III.297.4f), (M.I.494.21f), (S.IV.195.1), (S.IV.295.30), (S.IV.360.6-7), (S.V.52.22), (A.I.61.6), (A.I.95.1), (A.I.100.10) & (A.II.247.11). On a few occasions *samatha* and *vipassanā* form a pair within a longer list of qualities; see (M.I.294.11-12) & (A.II.140.15)
- II. *Vipassanā* is occasionally used in the phrase "*vipassanāya samannāgato*", "possessed of insight". Again, it is not explicitly related to *satipatthāna*. See (M.I.33.11f), (M.I.213.17), (A.V.131.13f).
- III. On a couple of occasions *vipassanā* occurs outside these contexts: At (A.I.61.9-10) wisdom is said to be developed through developing *vipassanā*; at (S.IV.362.21-22), among a large number of other qualities, *vipassanā* is said to lead to the unconditioned; at (A.II.157.4f) *vipassanā* is said to be developed before, after, or together with *samatha*.
- IV. *Vipassanā* also occurs in a few compounds: At (A.II.92.14ff), (A.IV.360.10f) & (A.V.99.5f) the compound "adhipaññā-dhamma-vipassanāya", "insight into things relating to the higher wisdom", is encountered, and at (M.III.25.10f) "anupada-dhamma-vipassanā", "step by step insight into things".

V. Finally, occasionally one finds the verbal form of $vipassan\bar{a}$, " $vipassati$ ": e.g. (D.III.196.12) & (Sn.1115). In all the above there is no explicit linkage between $vipassan\bar{a}$ and $satipatth\bar{a}na$.
(27) In fact this is possibly the only passage in the Suttas that explicitly links $satipatth\bar{a}na$ with insight. \Box
(28) (S.V.144.19-29):
"Etha tumhe āvuso kāye kāyānupassino (vedanāsu vedanānupassino / citte cittānupassino / dhammesu dhammānupassino) viharatha, ātāpino sampajānā ekodibhūtā vippasanna-cittā samāhitā ekagga-cittā kāyassa (vedanānam/cittassa/dhammānam) yathā-bhūtam ñānāya."□
(29) I. The various terms signifying $sam\bar{a}dhi$ are adjectives to " $k\bar{a}y\bar{a}nupassino$ "; the meaning is therefore that one should dwell contemplating the body (etc.) after these qualities, i.e. $sam\bar{a}dhi$, have already been established.
II. That <i>satipatthāna</i> as a deep insight practice only begins after <i>samādhi</i> has been attained is not surprising. In the Suttas it is always <i>samādhi</i> which is the condition for <i>yathā-bhūta-ñāna-dassana</i> , e.g.: " <i>sammā-samādhimhi asati sammā-samādhi-vipannassa hat'upanisam hoti yathā-bhūta-ñāna-dassanam</i> " - "when right <i>samādhi</i> is not existing, for one failing in right <i>samādhi</i> , the proximate cause is destroyed for knowledge and vision of things as they really are"; (A.V.4.9-11). See also (A.V.212.16), (A.V.214.26-27) & (A.V.236.27).
This relationship between $sam\bar{a}dhi$ and $yath\bar{a}$ - $bh\bar{u}ta$ - $n\bar{a}na$ - $dassana$ may also help explain why a direct link between $satipatth\bar{a}na$ and insight is so rarely expressed in the Suttas. It seems likely that after $sam\bar{a}dhi$ $yath\bar{a}$ - $bh\bar{u}ta$ - $n\bar{a}na$ - $dassana$ is used in place of $satipatth\bar{a}na$ to more precisely explain what is happening at this stage. Elsewhere (e.g. M.III.76.6) $samm\bar{a}$ - $n\bar{a}na$ is used in a similar way. $Yath\bar{a}$ - $bh\bar{u}ta$ - $n\bar{a}na$ - $dassana$ may thus be regarded as a subset and specialised aspect of $satipatth\bar{a}na$.
At (M.I.435.26f) one finds a clear example of the sort of insight practice that comes after <i>samādhi</i> : after emerging from the <i>jhānas</i> one is to reflect on them as being affected by the three characteristics. Although <i>satipatthāna</i> is never mentioned, this practice would seem to fall squarely within <i>cittaanupassanā</i> .
(30) It should also be noted that although the emphasis of $satipatth\bar{a}na$ is on $vipassan\bar{a}$ at this stage, this does not preclude $satipatth\bar{a}na$ from being helpful for even deeper states of $sam\bar{a}dhi$. And the deeper the $sam\bar{a}dhi$ the more powerful the subsequent $vipassan\bar{a}$ practice will be. \Box
(31) I.e. that $sam\bar{a}dhi$ is a precondition for deep insight. \Box
(32) I.e. the five <i>khandhas</i> , the standard analysis in the Suttas of a living being. \Box
(33) (M.III.136.14-26):
" 'Evam eva kho (Aggivesana) ariya-sāvakassa ime cattāro satipatthānā cetaso upanibandhanā honti gehasitānany c'eva sīlānam abhinimmadanāya gehasitānañ c'eva sankappānam abhinimmadanāya gehasitānañ c'eva daratha-kilamatha-parilāhānam abhinimmadanāya ñāyassa adhigamāya nibbānassa sacchikiriyāya.'
Tam enam Tathāgata uttarim vineti: 'Ehi tvam, bhikkhu, kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharāhi mā ca kāmūpasamhitam vitakkam vitakkesi'."
(On ' $k\bar{a}m\bar{u}pasamhitam$ ' rather than ' $k\bar{a}y\bar{u}pasamhitam$ ' see Middle Length discourses of the Buddha, note 1177.) Also (S.V.155.31 - 157.20) appears to be showing a similar split between $satipatth\bar{a}na$ before and after $sam\bar{a}dhi$.
(34) It would seem that "distress, fatigue, and fever based on the household life" refers to the five hindrances, in particular sensual desire. The text in question, however, specifies that the five hindrances have already been removed. To make sense of this apparent contradiction, I would suggest that the removal of the five hindrances allows for refined aspects of the hindrances still to be present and that "distress, fatigue, and fever based on the household life" refers only to these refined aspects of the hindrances. There are also other passages where <i>satipatthāna</i> practice is shown to remove (refined aspects of) the hindrances: (S.V.151.25 - 152.1):
"Evam eva kho bhikkhave idh'ekacco pandito vyatto kusalo bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanāmupassī / citte cittāmupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati ātāpī sampajāno satimā viņevya

"Evam eva kho bhikkhave idh'ekacco pandito vyatto kusalo bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī / citte cittānupassī / dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharati, ātāpī sampajāno satimā vineyya loke abhijjhā-domanassam tassa kāye kāyānupassino viharato cittam samādhiyati upakkilesā pahīyanti."

"So too, monks, here some wise, competent, skillful monk dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), ardent, clearly comprehending, mindful, having removed covetousness and displeasure in regard to the world. While he dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena), his mind becomes concentrated, his corruptions are abandoned."

(*Upakkilesa* is used in other places to refer to the remaining refined hindrances, e.g. the Upakkilesa Sutta, M 128.) (S.V.325.6):

"Evam eva kho Ānanda bhikkhu kāye kāyānupassī (vedanāsu vedanānupassī/citte cittānupassī/dhammesu dhammānupassī) viharanto pi upahanateva pāpake akusale dhamme."

"So too, Ānanda, when a bhikkhu dwells contemplating the body in the body (feelings/mind/phenomena) he flattens evil unwholesome states."

(A.IV.458.4-5):

"Imesam kho bhikkhave pañcannam nīvaranānam pahānāya cattāro satipatthānā bhāvetabbā."

"Monks, the four *satipatthānas* should be developed for the abandoning of these five hindrances."

(35) Note that the description of $satipatth\bar{a}na$ here (at the second stage) bears a close resemblance to the passage quotes in note 28 above (and the relevant section in the main text). In the present passage, instead of the ordinary $satipatth\bar{a}na$ formula, the terms " $\bar{a}t\bar{a}p\bar{t}$, $sampaj\bar{a}no$, $satim\bar{a}$, vineyya loke $abhijjh\bar{a}$ -domanassam" have been removed and replaced with " $m\bar{a}$ ca $k\bar{a}m\bar{u}pasamhitam$ vitakkam vi

(36) See note 29. "Knowledge and vision of things as they really are", i.e. *yathā-bhūta-ñāna-dassana*. □

Source: http://www.bswa.org

Home | Links | Contact

Copy Right Issues © DhammaTalks.net